Application No.: 10/579,002

REMARKS

After the foregoing Amendment, Claims 1, 3, 5-27 are currently pending in

this application. Claims 19-21 are withdrawn. Claims 2 and 4 have been canceled

without prejudice. Claims 1 and 10 are amended. Claims 22-27 are new. Support

for the amendments and new claims is found in the specification at least at

paragraphs: [0057]; [0060]; [0062]; and [0068]. Applicants submit that no new

matter has been introduced into the application by these amendments.

Claim Objections

The Action objected to claim 4 under 37 C.F.R. § 1.75(c) as being of improper

dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim.

Claim 4 has been canceled, rendering this objection moot. The Action also objected

to claim 1 because of informalities. Claim 1 has been amended to recite "a carrier

and a colorant mixed together and formed into a substantially firm predetermined

core shape." Accordingly, the withdrawal of the objection to claim 1 is respectfully

requested.

- 7 -

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 3-5, 9, 10, 12-14, and 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as

being anticipated by Haun (WO/2003/002480). Applicants respectfully traverse this

rejection.

Independent claims 1 and 10 have been amended to recite a projectile having

"a substantially spherical" core including "a carrier and a colorant mixed together

and formed into a substantially firm predetermined core shape," and "a generally

uniform coating bonded to and enveloping the core, the coating conforming to the

shape of the core and providing resistance against breakage of the projectile core

prior to impact of the projectile core." Haun fails to disclose or suggest a projectile

having these elements, and cannot anticipate or render these claims obvious.

Haun is directed to making ceramic products from fiber glass waste, and

contains absolutely no teaching or suggestion of forming a particular projectile

having "a substantially spherical" core, as recited by independent claims 1 and 10.

The Action states that anything that can be thrown or otherwise propelled is by

definition "a projectile." However, a projectile having a substantially spherical core

has aerodynamic advantages over cores of other shapes, especially where accuracy

of the projectile is desired. Haun further fails to disclose or suggest a coating

bonded to and enveloping the core, the coating "providing resistance against

breakage of the projectile core prior to impact of the projectile core." The Action

- 8 -

points to Haun's generally uniform glaze as the claimed "coating." However, a glaze applied to the ceramic product of Haun would <u>not</u> provide "resistance against breakage of the projective core prior to impact of the projectile core."

Claims 3, 5, 9, 12-14, and 18 are dependent upon claims 1 and 10, and should be allowable over the cited references of record for at least the same reasons discussed above. Accordingly, withdrawal of the § 102(e) rejection of claims 1, 3, 5, 9, 10, 12-14, and 18 is respectfully requested.

Claims 1, 3-7, 9, 10, 12-16, and 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Legro (US 5,623,781). Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Legro cannot anticipate or render obvious currently amended claims 1 and 10 for the same reasons discussed above. Specifically, Legro fails to disclose or suggest a projectile having "a substantially spherical core including a carrier and a colorant mixed together" and a coating that provides "resistance against breakage of the projectile core prior to impact of the projectile core." The Action states that all elements except for the adhesive or outer layer are considered the "core," and contains a colorant in the form of bentonite. Applicants respectfully disagree with this characterization of the reference, as claims 1 and 10 specifically recite "a substantially spherical core including a carrier and a colorant mixed together." Legro states that its smaller core 1 may be formed from "bentonite granulates that

Applicant: Campo, et al.

Application No.: 10/579,002

will dissolve with moisture," without any discussion of a "carrier" being mixed

together with the bentonite granulates. (Col. 3, lines 63-65). The fact that

bentonite is known to have a green color does not make it a "colorant," especially

since Legro is silent on mixing bentonite granulates with a carrier to form the core

1. In addition, Legro's coating 5 is merely used to "give the pill a smooth finish,"

(col. 3, lines 40-42), and does not provide "resistance against breakage of the

projectile core prior to impact." (Claims 1 and 10).

Claims 3, 5-7, 9, 12-16, and 18 are dependent upon claims 1 and 10, and

should be allowable over the cited references of record for at least the same reasons

provided above.

Based on the amendments and arguments presented above, withdrawal of

the § 102(b) rejection of claims 1, 3, 5-7, 9, 10, 12-16, and 18 is respectfully

requested.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 8 and 17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Legro in view of Ishioka et al. (US 5,934,011). Applicants

respectfully traverse this rejection.

The combination of Legro and Ishioka et al. fails to disclose or suggest every

element of independent claims 1 and 10, which claims 8 and 17 depend from.

- 10 -

Specifically, neither Legro nor Ishioka et al. discloses or suggests a "substantially

spherical" core including "a carrier and a colorant mixed together" or a "coating

conforming to the shape of the core and providing resistance against breakage of the

projectile core prior to impact." The combination of references further fails to teach

or suggest forming the claimed "core" with the carrier being rice straw. Ishioka et

al. discloses forming the fibrous substrate of a seedling culture mat from thin pieces

of rice straw (col. 2, lines 8-18), not a "substantially spherical core" of a projectile.

Ishioka et al. is completely silent on how rice straw used in a mat can be adapted to

be used as the inert carrier material of a multi-seed pill with seeds or spores as

small as 10-100 µm. (Legro, col. 2, lines 1-6).

Based on the amendments and arguments presented above, withdrawal of

the § 103(a) rejection of claims 8 and 17 is respectfully requested.

New Claims

Newly presented claims 22, 24 and 23, 25 are dependent upon independent

claims 1 and 10, respectively, and should be allowable over the references of record

for at least the reasons discussed above. Newly presented claims 24 and 25 further

define the projectile as a paintball. Newly presented claims 22-23 and 26-27

further define over the references of record, as each of the claims recites the coating

enveloping the projectile core comprising a "wax coating," which is not disclosed or

- 11 -

Applicant: Campo, et al.

Application No.: 10/579,002

suggested by the references of record. This claim element is supported by at least

paragraph [0068] of the originally filed specification, which states that the outer

coating may be formed from wax compositions. At least one advantage of the

projectile of claims 22 - 23 and 26 - 27 is improved resistance to accidental

breakage or deformation, as the wax coating is impervious to weather and retains

the projectile's uniformity.

- 12 -

Applicant: Campo, et al.

Application No.: 10/579,002

Conclusion

If the Examiner believes that any additional matters need to be addressed in

order to place this application in condition for allowance, or that a telephone

interview will help advance the prosecution of this application, the Examiner is

invited to contact the undersigned by telephone at the Examiner's convenience.

In view of the foregoing amendment and remarks, Applicants respectfully

submit that the present application, including the pending claims, is in condition for

allowance and a notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

John E. Campo, et al.

/Linda X. Shi/ By

Linda X. Shi

Registration No. 64,800

Volpe and Koenig, P.C.

30 South 17th Street

Philadelphia, PA 19103

Telephone: (215) 568-6400

Facsimile: (215) 568-6499

LXS/MFS/jal

- 13 -